

FIAN/TD-05/06

ITEP/TH-15/06

MPIM-44/06

# WDVV equations for 6d Seiberg-Witten theory and bi-elliptic curves

H.W.Braden<sup>§</sup>, A.Marshakov<sup>¶</sup>, A.Mironov<sup>||</sup>, A.Morozov<sup>\*\*</sup>

We present a generic derivation of the WDVV equations for 6d Seiberg-Witten theory, and extend it to the families of bi-elliptic spectral curves. We find that the elliptization of the naive perturbative and nonperturbative 6d systems roughly “doubles” the number of moduli describing the system.

## 1 Introduction: WDVV equations and residue formulas

Complex geometry is playing an increasingly important role in non-perturbative physics. For example, modern (topological) string theory, including Seiberg-Witten (SW) theory, intensively exploits the prepotentials of complex manifolds, the (generalized) period matrices of which appear as couplings in the associated low-energy, effective field theory Lagrangians. In many cases these prepotentials satisfy some particularly nice non-linear differential equations, and these may be integrated using the basic properties of the underlying complex geometry. The general theory of these equations is still far from being complete. This paper will focus on one such class of equations, the WDVV equations, and provide some new solutions to these arising from six dimensional (6d) Seiberg-Witten theory. We begin by reviewing these equations.

In many known cases the nontrivial part of the complex geometry effectively reduces to families of one-dimensional complex manifolds, or curves, and both the equations and the curves are related to parts of well-known infinite-dimensional integrable hierarchies. The latter may be further effectively rewritten in group-theoretical terms, or even “linearized” in the form of the Virasoro/W-algebra constraints. Other ingredients of this picture suggest various universal properties, presumably generalizable beyond one complex dimension. This is particularly true of the relations satisfied by the generalized period matrices, including their first derivatives; equivalently the third derivatives of prepotentials. Such third derivatives have the sense of three-point functions in string theory and are very robust objects because of the large (three-dimensional) group of automorphisms of the world-sheet spheres. The basic relations satisfied by

---

<sup>§</sup>School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland; e-mail: hwb@ed.ac.uk

<sup>¶</sup>Lebedev Physics Institute and ITEP, Moscow, Russia; e-mail: mars@lpi.ru, mars@itep.ru

<sup>||</sup>Lebedev Physics Institute and ITEP, Moscow Russia; e-mail: mironov@lpi.ru, mironov@itep.ru

<sup>\*\*</sup>ITEP, Moscow, Russia; e-mail: morozov@itep.ru

the third derivatives are known as the WDVV equations [1] and these were originally obtained from the crossing-symmetry of the four-point functions in topological string theory.

In their most general form [2] the WDVV equations can be presented as a system of algebraic relations

$$\mathcal{F}_I \mathcal{F}_J^{-1} \mathcal{F}_K = \mathcal{F}_K \mathcal{F}_J^{-1} \mathcal{F}_I, \quad \forall I, J, K \quad (1.1)$$

for the matrices of third derivatives

$$\|\mathcal{F}_I\|_{JK} = \frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}}{\partial T_I \partial T_J \partial T_K} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{IJK} \quad (1.2)$$

of some function  $\mathcal{F}(T_K)$ . Originally one considered only a particular class of solutions to the system (1.1), where one of the matrices  $\mathcal{F}_{I_0}$  was a constant (matrix) independent of  $\{T_J\}$ , see [1, 3]. This restriction corresponded to the existence of a distinguished vector (the vacuum) in the space of states of topological string theory with corresponding parameter  $T_{I_0}$ , the “cosmological constant”. In the framework of SW theory however, there is no natural place for such a constraint, see [2, 4, 5, 6]; moreover, this constraint violates the basic symmetries of the SW theory, like electric-magnetic duality [7]. In fact, it turns out that this constancy condition is inessential to the proof of solutions to the WDVV equations given by prepotentials of complex manifolds for which the third derivatives are expressed by a *residue formula*.

The residue formula relevant for the WDVV equations takes the following form [8]. One has a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  endowed with a meromorphic generating one-form  $dS = -z d\bar{z}$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}}{\partial T_I \partial T_J \partial T_K} = \text{res}_{d\bar{z}=0} \left( \frac{d\Omega_I d\Omega_J d\Omega_K}{dz d\bar{z}} \right) = \sum_{\alpha} \text{res}_{z_{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\phi_I \phi_J \phi_K}{d\bar{z}/dz} dz \right) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\phi_I(z_{\alpha}) \phi_J(z_{\alpha}) \phi_K(z_{\alpha})}{\Psi_{\alpha}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $d\bar{z} = \Psi_{\alpha} \cdot (z - z_{\alpha}) dz + \dots$  as  $z \rightarrow z_{\alpha}$ . The set of one-forms  $\{d\Omega_I\}$  corresponds to the set of parameters  $\{T_I\}$  via

$$\frac{\partial dS}{\partial T_I} = d\Omega_I \equiv \phi_I dz. \quad (1.4)$$

With such a residue formula the proof of the WDVV equations (1.1) reduces to solving a system of linear equations [10, 11], the solution of which requires only two conditions to be fulfilled:

1. A matching condition between the number of deformation parameters (moduli)  $n_m = \#(I)$  and the number of critical points  $n_z = \#(\alpha)$  in the residue formula

$$n_z = n_m \quad (1.5)$$

2. Nondegeneracy of the matrix  $\phi_I(z_{\alpha})$ ,

$$\det_{I\alpha} \|\phi_I(z_{\alpha})\| \neq 0 \quad (1.6)$$

This is believed to always be fulfilled in “general position”.

Supposing these conditions to be satisfied the structure constants  $C_{IJ}^K$  of the associative algebra underlying the WDVV equations may be found from the system of linear equations (one for each  $z_{\alpha}$ )

$$\phi_I(z_{\alpha}) \phi_J(z_{\alpha}) = \sum_K C_{IJ}^K(\xi) \phi_K(z_{\alpha}) \cdot \xi(z_{\alpha}). \quad (1.7)$$

This algebra is isomorphic to the more usual one considered in relation to the WDVV equations provided  $\xi(z_\alpha) \neq 0$ , which we henceforth assume<sup>1</sup>. Utilising the matching and nondegeneracy assumptions we may solve (1.7) to give

$$C_{IJ}^K(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\phi_I(z_\alpha)\phi_J(z_\alpha)}{\xi(z_\alpha)} (\phi_K(z_\alpha))^{-1}. \quad (1.8)$$

The WDVV equations follow from the associativity of (1.7) once we establish the consistency of the relation

$$\mathcal{F}_{IJK} = \sum_L C_{IJ}^L(\xi)\eta_{KL}(\xi), \quad (1.9)$$

which expresses the structure constants in terms of the third derivatives. Here we have introduced a “metric”

$$\eta_{KL}(\xi) = \sum_M \xi_M \mathcal{F}_{KLM}, \quad (1.10)$$

where the parameters  $\xi_M$  are arbitrary, subject to  $\eta_{KL}$  being invertible. Upon defining the differential  $\xi(z)dz$  with

$$\xi(z) = \sum_M \xi_M \phi_M(z),$$

one sees that the values of  $\xi(z_\alpha)$  in (1.7) determine  $\xi_M$  and visa versa using

$$\xi_M = \sum_{\alpha} \phi_M(z_\alpha)^{-1} \xi(z_\alpha).$$

The consistency of (1.9) now follows simply if  $\mathcal{F}_{KLM}$  are given by a residue formula (1.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_K C_{IJ}^K(\xi)\eta_{KL}(\xi) &= \sum_{K,\alpha,\beta} \frac{\phi_I(z_\alpha)\phi_J(z_\alpha)}{\xi(z_\alpha)} \cdot (\phi_K(z_\alpha))^{-1} \cdot \phi_K(z_\beta)\phi_L(z_\beta)\xi(z_\beta)\Psi_\beta^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\phi_I(z_\alpha)\phi_J(z_\alpha)}{\xi(z_\alpha)} \phi_L(z_\alpha)\xi(z_\alpha)\Psi_\alpha^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha} \Psi_\alpha^{-1}\phi_I(z_\alpha)\phi_J(z_\alpha)\phi_L(z_\alpha) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{IJL}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Seiberg-Witten prepotentials

We shall now construct a new class of SW prepotentials [12] and solutions to the WDVV equations using the general formalism described in the introduction. The focus of our attention will be on curves  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{E}_\tau \times \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\tau}}$  lying in the product of two elliptic curves,

$$\Sigma : \quad \mathcal{H}(\tilde{z}, z) = 0, \quad dS = -z d\tilde{z}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}$  is doubly-periodic in both  $z$  and  $\tilde{z}$  with respective periods  $(1, \tau)$  and  $(1, \tilde{\tau})$ . Such curves (2.1) are endowed with a “symmetric” generating differential: under exchange of the two tori this becomes a Legendre transform. In particular cases the  $\tilde{z}$ -torus will be taken to degenerate with  $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow +i\infty$ . Then it

---

<sup>1</sup>An alternative approach to this is to construct an associative algebra of forms, see [4, 5, 9].

is convenient to use the co-ordinate on a cylinder  $\tilde{z} \rightarrow \log w$  instead of  $\tilde{z}$  itself. In this case the generating differential becomes

$$dS = -z \frac{dw}{w} \quad (2.2)$$

and acquires the form more commonly appearing in SW theory (see [13, 14], and references therein). Our setting corresponds to a 6d gauge theory with two extra dimensions compactified onto the  $z$ -torus.

The variation of the generating differential (2.1) may be written as

$$\delta(dS) = \delta \mathcal{H} \frac{dz}{\mathcal{H}'_{\tilde{z}}} \in \bigoplus_{I=1}^{n_m} d\Omega_I, \quad (2.3)$$

where the right-hand side is understood as a linear combination of all *canonical* differentials. The most common basis of the space of all canonical differentials consists of the holomorphic or Abelian differentials of the first kind  $\{d\omega_i\}$ , and the meromorphic or Abelian differentials of the second and third kinds. If  $\{A_i, B_i\} \subset H_1(\Sigma)$  are a canonical homology basis for our curve  $\Sigma$  the holomorphic differentials may be used to vary the  $A_i$ -periods of  $dS$  while the meromorphic differentials may be used to describe any poles of  $dS$  and any monodromy, or jumps, it may have. (Note that  $z$  and  $\tilde{z}$  are non-single valued Abelian integrals and not functions on  $\Sigma$ .) Abelian differentials of the third kind,  $d\Omega_{P_+, P_-}$ , with residues  $\pm 1$  at  $P_{\pm}$ , also arise when allowing degenerations and a handle is shrunk to a pair of marked points ( $P_{\pm}$ ). These various sorts of differentials will correspond in (2.3) to different variations of the parameters of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In this paper we will focus on the case when the variations (2.3) are *almost all* accounted for by the holomorphic differentials (or, when there is degeneration, Abelian differentials of the third kind). However, it turns out, that if we want to restrict ourselves to only this class of generalized holomorphic differentials, there is always a mismatch by one with the matching condition (1.5) needed for the validity of the WDVV equations. For us this mismatch is filled by a variation in  $\tau$  of  $dS$ , producing in (2.3) a particular differential  $d\Omega_{\tau}$  with jump along the  $B_i$ -cycles that nontrivially project to the  $B$ -cycle of the base  $z$ -torus. Thus we are considering variations  $\delta(dS)$  of the form (for some point  $P_*$ )

$$\delta(dS) = \sum_{i=1}^{g_{\Sigma}} \delta a_i d\omega_i + \sum_j \delta a_j d\Omega_{P_*, P_j} + \delta \tau d\Omega_{\tau}, \quad (2.4)$$

and corresponding “times”  $\{T_K = a_i, a_k, \tau\}$

$$\{T_K\} : \quad a_i = \oint_{A_i} dS, \quad a_k = -\text{res}_{P_k} dS, \quad \tau = \oint_B dz. \quad (2.5)$$

Then we define (up to a constant) the function  $\mathcal{F}(T_K)$  in terms of its derivatives  $\{\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial T_K}\}$  by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_i} = \oint_{B_i} \tilde{z} dz, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_k} = \int_{P_k}^{P_*} \tilde{z} dz, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau} = \oint_A \tilde{z} dS. \quad (2.6)$$

The integrability of (2.6) and so the existence of a prepotential  $\mathcal{F}(T_K)$  now follows from the Riemann bilinear identities satisfied by the differentials on a Riemann surface,

$$\int_{\Sigma} d\Omega_I \wedge d\Omega_J = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Considering the canonical holomorphic differentials  $\{d\omega_i\} \subset \{d\Omega_I\}$ , for example, these identities ensure that the period matrix  $T_{ij} = \partial^2 \mathcal{F} / \partial \mathbf{a}_i \partial \mathbf{a}_j$  of  $\Sigma$  is symmetric,

$$T_{ij} = \oint_{B_i} d\omega_j = \oint_{B_j} d\omega_i = T_{ji}, \quad i, j = 1 \dots g_\Sigma. \quad (2.8)$$

Likewise consideration of the whole set of differentials satisfying (2.7) similarly shows that the generalized period matrices (2.8) can be integrated to yield a (locally defined) function  $\mathcal{F}(T_K)$  [8] of the periods, residues and jumps of the generating differentials. In addition to (2.8) one gets, for example, that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_k \partial \mathbf{a}_i} = \oint_{B_i} d\Omega_{P_k, P_*} = \int_{P_k}^{P_*} d\omega_i = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{a}_i \partial a_k} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau \partial \mathbf{a}_i} = \oint_{B_i} d\Omega_\tau = \oint_A \tilde{z} d\omega_i = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{a}_i \partial \tau}. \quad (2.10)$$

At this juncture we simply note (to be elaborated upon below) that “contact terms”, or the values of Abelian integrals at the intersection points  $P_k = A_k \cap B_k$ , appear in our setting as a consequence of the multi-valuedness of the generating differential  $dS$ .

In what follows we are going to apply these general formulae to particular examples of SW prepotentials. We start with the so called 6d supersymmetric QCD and then generalize it to the case of the curves (2.1).

## 2.1 The perturbative 6d case

We will now consider the perturbative prepotential of 6d supersymmetric QCD. By 6d supersymmetric QCD we follow [15, 16] and mean the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUSY four-dimensional gauge theory with  $SU(N)$  gauge group and  $N_f = 2N$  fundamental matter multiplets together with the extra Kaluza-Klein modes corresponding to adding *two* compact dimensions. All  $N_f = 2N$  matter multiplets are taken throughout with vanishing masses. The perturbative prepotential of this hypothetical 6d theory compactified on the torus  $\mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(1, \tau)$  was calculated in [16] using the residue formula (1.3). Let

$$x = \wp(z|\tau), \quad y = -\frac{1}{2}\wp'(z|\tau), \quad y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i), \quad (2.11)$$

be standard (affine) coordinates for the torus  $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ . In terms of these the curve  $\Sigma$  is defined by

$$w = e^u \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\theta_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z)} = P(x) + y Q(x), \quad \sum_{j=1}^N a_j = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

It is endowed with the generating differential (2.2). The perturbative curve (2.12) defines an elliptic function  $w \in \mathbb{C}^*$  on the torus and so  $g_\Sigma = 1$ . The prepotential is computed as a function of the degenerate SW periods or residues

$$a_j = -\text{res}_{P_j} dS = \text{res}_{z=a_j} z \frac{dw}{w}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.13)$$

Choosing a set of  $N - 1$  independent quantities from the  $N$  variables  $a_j$  subject to  $\sum_{j=1}^N a_j = 0$  in a standard way, say  $a_j \rightarrow a_j - a_N$ , the resulting prepotential is easily written in terms of the quantum tri-logarithm function [16].

In addition to the variables (2.13) there are two more natural parameters in (2.12). There is the modulus  $\tau$  of elliptic curve, the complexified ratio of the two compactification radii of the 6d theory, together with the coefficient of proportionality  $\exp(u)$  which is “reminiscent” of the scale factor of the 6d theory and related to the coupling constant of the “microscopic” gauge theory. (Here we are writing the coefficient of proportionality of [16] in the exponential form of [17].)

The residue formula (1.3), used in [16], can be extended to include these extra parameters. Let

$$\mathbf{a} = \oint_A dS, \quad a_k = -\text{res}_{P_k} dS, \quad \tau = \oint_B dz,$$

(recalling that we are in the genus one setting, so  $A_1 = A$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}$  here). To apply the general formalism we need to relate  $u$  to the period  $\mathbf{a}$ . The delicate point here is that  $dS$  is *not* single-valued. Unlike the usual SW setting we now need to specify a point  $P_0 = A \cap B$  and fix some  $z_0 = z(P_0)$  and  $w_0 = w(P_0)$  [8]; the prepotential depends on the choice of homology cycles and various “contact terms” must be included. As

$$dS = -z \frac{dw}{w} = dz \log w - d(z \log w)$$

then

$$\mathbf{a} = - \int_{z_0}^{z_0+1} d(z \log w) + \oint_A \log w dz = -\log w_0 + \oint_A u dz + \sum_{j=1}^N \oint_A \log \frac{\theta_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z)} dz \quad (2.14)$$

$$= -\log w_0 + u. \quad (2.15)$$

To see that the final term of (2.14) may be taken to vanish we use the identity

$$\log \frac{\theta_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z)} = \log \frac{\sin \pi(z - a_j)}{\sin \pi z} - 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{q^{2n}}{1 - q^{2n}} \sin \pi n(2z - a_j) \sin \pi n a_j.$$

Then by periodicity the trigonometric terms vanish upon integration and

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \oint_A \log \frac{\theta_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z)} dz = \sum_{j=1}^N \oint_A \log \frac{\sin \pi(z - a_j)}{\sin \pi z} dz.$$

The value of this last integral depends on the choice of contour  $A$ : if it is such that  $\text{Im } a_j < \text{Im } z_0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) then the contour may be slid to infinity and the integrals vanish, while other choices of contour will differ by an integer multiple of  $2\pi i$ . Thus for our chosen homology basis we obtain (2.15) showing that (for constant  $w$ ) variations in  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $u$  are the same. Following from

$$\delta \log w = \delta u + \delta z \frac{d \log w}{dz} - \sum_i \delta a_i \frac{\theta_1'(z - a_i)}{\theta_1(z - a_i)} + \delta \tau \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\theta_1''(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z - a_j)} - N \frac{\theta_1''(z)}{\theta_1(z)} \right) \quad (2.16)$$

to each of the times  $\{T_I = u, a_j, \tau\}$  (at constant  $w$ ) we may associate the differentials  $\{d\Omega_I = dz, d\Omega_j, d\Omega_\tau\}$  via

$$\frac{\partial dS}{\partial u} = dz, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\frac{\partial dS}{\partial a_j} = d\Omega_j = \left( \frac{\theta'_1(z - a_N)}{\theta_1(z - a_N)} - \frac{\theta'_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z - a_j)} \right) dz, \quad j = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\frac{\partial dS}{\partial \tau} = d\Omega_\tau. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus (2.17) gives us the (unique) holomorphic differential on the torus. The Abelian differentials of the third-kind (2.18) used in [16] can also be expanded over the basis of

$$\frac{dz}{w} \left( 1, \dots, \wp(z)^{[N/2]} \right) = \frac{dx}{wy} \left( 1, \dots, x^{[N/2]} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dz}{w} \left( 1, \dots, \wp(z)^{[(N-3)/2]} \right) \wp'(z) = \frac{dx}{w} \left( 1, \dots, x^{[(N-3)/2]} \right), \quad (2.20)$$

where the coefficients of the expansion are such as to cancel all poles except for the two simple poles of (2.18). The differential (2.17) and the differentials (2.18) are single-valued on the torus. In contrast to this (2.19) is a *multi-valued* differential. Before turning to the residue formulae we first explain how the Riemann bilinear identity works for these latter differentials.

The general theory gives (compare with [8])

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial u} = -\tau \log w_0 - \oint_B dS = - \int_{z_0}^{z_0 + \tau} \log w \, dz, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_k} = \int_{P_k}^{P_N} \tilde{z} dz, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau} = - \oint_A \tilde{z} dS = \frac{1}{2} (\log w_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \oint_A (\log w)^2 dz. \quad (2.23)$$

As a consequence we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau \partial u} = -\log w_0 - \oint_B d\Omega_\tau, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial u \partial \tau} = - \oint_A \log w \, dz = -\log w_0 + \oint_A z \frac{dw}{w} \quad (2.25)$$

and to show the integrability of  $\mathcal{F}$  we must further investigate the *multi-valued* differential (2.19). Using the fact that theta functions satisfy the heat equation we may write

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega_\tau &= dz \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_\tau \log \theta_1(z - a_j) - N \partial_\tau \log \theta_1(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{dz}{4\pi i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (\log \theta_1(z - a_j))'' - N (\log \theta_1(z))'' \right) + \frac{dz}{4\pi i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N ((\log \theta_1(z - a_j))')^2 - N ((\log \theta_1(z))')^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here prime means derivative with respect to  $z$  and in the final equality we have separated the single-valued part of  $d\Omega_\tau$  (the first term) from its multi-valued part. This gives rise to

$$\Delta_B d\Omega_\tau = d\Omega_\tau(z + \tau) - d\Omega_\tau(z) = \frac{dz}{4\pi i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N ((\log \theta_1(z - a_j))')^2 - N ((\log \theta_1(z))')^2 \right) \Bigg|_z^{z+\tau}$$

$$= -dz \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (\log \theta_1(z - a_j))' - N(\log \theta_1(z))' \right) = -\frac{dw}{w}. \quad (2.26)$$

In more invariant terms [8] this can be stated as the “jump” of the non-single valued differential (2.19) across the  $A$ -cycle, with jump  $\Delta_B d\Omega_\tau = d\Omega_\tau^+ - d\Omega_\tau^- = -dw/w$ . From (2.19) and the  $\tau$  independence of (2.15) it also follows that

$$0 = \oint_A d\Omega_\tau. \quad (2.27)$$

The integrability condition for (2.24,2.25) now follows upon considering the integral over the boundary  $\partial\Sigma$  of the cut  $z$ -torus

$$0 = \int_{\partial\Sigma} z d\Omega_\tau = \oint_A \left( z d\Omega_\tau - (z + \tau)(d\Omega_\tau - \frac{dw}{w}) \right) + \oint_B ((z + 1)d\Omega_\tau - z d\Omega_\tau) = \oint_A z \frac{dw}{w} + \oint_B d\Omega_\tau. \quad (2.28)$$

Thus  $\partial^2 \mathcal{F} / \partial \tau \partial u = \partial^2 \mathcal{F} / \partial u \partial \tau$ . The equality (2.28) also follows upon differentiating

$$0 = \int_{\partial\Sigma} z^2 \frac{dw}{w} = \oint_A (z^2 - (z + \tau)^2) \frac{dw}{w} + \oint_B ((z + 1)^2 - z^2) \frac{dw}{w} = 2\tau \oint_A dS - 2 \oint_B dS,$$

which also establishes that

$$\tau a = \oint_B dS. \quad (2.29)$$

This then leads to a term  $\frac{1}{2}\tau u^2$  in the prepotential.

Let us now turn to the residue formula (1.3). The addition of the extra variables now mean further terms to those calculated in [16],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_j \partial a_j \partial a_k} &= \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{d\Omega_i d\Omega_j d\Omega_k}{dz \frac{dw}{w}} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{l \neq N} \hat{\zeta}(a_{lN}) - \sum_{l \neq i, j, k, N} \hat{\zeta}(a_{lN}), & i \neq j \neq k, \\ -\hat{\zeta}(a_{ik}) + 4\hat{\zeta}(a_{iN}) + 2\hat{\zeta}(a_{kN}) + \sum_{l \neq i, k, N} \hat{\zeta}(a_{lN}), & i = j \neq k, \\ \sum_{l \neq i} \hat{\zeta}(a_{il}) + 6\hat{\zeta}(a_{iN}) + \sum_{l \neq N} \hat{\zeta}(a_{lN}), & i = j = k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

$$(2.31)$$

Here  $a_{ij} = a_i - a_j$  and  $\hat{\zeta}(z) \equiv \frac{d}{dz} \log \theta_1(z)$ . (The function  $\hat{\zeta}(z)$  differs from the usual Weierstrass  $\zeta$ -function by a term linear in  $z$ :  $\hat{\zeta}(z) = \zeta(z) - 2\eta z$ .) Additionally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}}{\partial u \partial u \partial \tau} &= \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{dz dz d\Omega_\tau}{dz \frac{dw}{w}} \right) = \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{dz d\Omega_\tau}{\frac{dw}{w}} \right) = \oint_{\partial\Sigma_{\text{cut}}} \left( \frac{dz d\Omega_\tau}{\frac{dw}{w}} \right) \\ &= \oint_A dz \frac{d\Omega_\tau^- - d\Omega_\tau^+}{\frac{dw}{w}} = \oint_A dz = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}}{\partial u^3} = \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{dz dz dz}{dz \frac{dw}{w}} \right) = \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{dz dz}{\frac{dw}{w}} \right) = 0, \quad (2.33)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}}{\partial u \partial \tau \partial \tau} &= \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{dz d\Omega_\tau d\Omega_\tau}{dz \frac{dw}{w}} \right) = \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{d\Omega_\tau d\Omega_\tau}{\frac{dw}{w}} \right) \\
&= \oint_A \frac{(d\Omega_\tau^+)^2 - (d\Omega_\tau^-)^2}{\frac{dw}{w}} = - \oint_A (d\Omega_\tau^+ + d\Omega_\tau^-) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Here we have used (2.26) and (2.27). Together these means that the tri-logarithmic expression of [16] should be corrected by adding the term  $\frac{1}{2}\tau u^2$ , consistent with (2.29), and some function of  $\tau$ , computed in [17], which can be fixed by the residue formula for  $\partial^3 \mathcal{F} / \partial u^3$ .

## 2.2 WDVV for the perturbative 6d prepotential

From the formulas of the previous section it is obvious that the 6d perturbative prepotential satisfies the WDVV equations (1.1) as a function of the  $n_m = N + 1$  parameters  $\{T_I\} = \{u, a_j \ (j = 1 \dots N - 1), \tau\}$ . Indeed, having the residue formulas, one has only to check the matching condition (1.5). If this holds the WDVV equations then simply follow from the associativity of the algebra of functions at the critical points  $\{z_\alpha\}$ , the solutions of

$$\frac{dw}{w} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\theta'_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z - a_j)} - N \frac{\theta'_1(z)}{\theta_1(z)} \right) dz = 0. \tag{2.35}$$

Now since the differential (2.35) obviously has  $N + 1$  poles then it also has  $\#(\alpha) = N + 1$  zeroes. Thus  $n_z = N + 1 = n_m$  and the matching condition holds.

The corresponding associative algebra is simply realized as the algebra of functions at the points  $\{z_\alpha\}$ , with any appropriate choice of  $\xi$ . The corresponding basis can be chosen as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_j &= \frac{d\Omega_i}{dz} = \frac{\theta'_1(z - a_N)}{\theta_1(z - a_N)} - \frac{\theta'_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z - a_j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N - 1, \\
\phi_\tau &= \frac{d\Omega_\tau}{dz} = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\theta''_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z - a_j)} - N \frac{\theta''_1(z)}{\theta_1(z)}, \\
\phi_z &= 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Our algebra of functions here ‘accidentally’ contains the ‘unity’  $\phi_z = 1$ , but we stress that this does not influence any of our statements made about the WDVV equations beyond the specific  $u$ -dependence of the prepotential via the  $\frac{1}{2}\tau u^2$ -term. This simple dependence almost certainly does not survive beyond the perturbative limit of the 6d theory.

The only delicate point to note here is that  $\phi_\tau$  (2.36) is *not* single valued on the torus  $\Sigma$ . However, this is not a problem when considering the associative algebra (1.7) since we restrict the values of the functions (2.36) to their *values* at critical points  $\{z_\alpha\}$ , where the ambiguity in the definition of  $\phi_\tau$  disappears,

$$(\phi_\tau^+ - \phi_\tau^-)|_{z=z_\alpha} = \left( \frac{d\Omega_\tau^+}{dz} - \frac{d\Omega_\tau^-}{dz} \right) \Big|_{z=z_\alpha} = \left( \frac{d \log w}{dz} \right) \Big|_{z=z_\alpha} = 0. \tag{2.37}$$

Therefore the quantities  $\phi_\tau(z_\alpha)$  are uniquely defined.

For later comparison it is instructive to write down the simplest  $SU(2)$  case with  $N + 1 = 3$ , when the WDVV equations (1.1) are already nontrivial. In this case (upon noting  $\sigma(z) = e^{\eta z^2} \theta_1(z)$  and  $\wp(z) = -\zeta'(z) = -2\eta - \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \log \theta_1(z)$ )

$$w = e^u \frac{\theta_1(z-a)\theta_1(z+a)}{\theta_1(z)^2} = \theta_1^2(a) e^u (\wp(a) - \wp(z)) \quad (2.38)$$

and

$$\frac{dw}{w} = \frac{\wp'(z)dz}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)}. \quad (2.39)$$

The latter has three poles at  $z = 0, \pm a$  and three zeroes at the half-periods of the  $z$ -torus

$$\{z_\alpha\} = \{\omega_\alpha\} = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}, \frac{1+\tau}{2}. \quad (2.40)$$

The basis of functions (2.36) for this case is

$$\phi_a = \frac{d\Omega_a}{dz} = \frac{\theta_1'(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} - \frac{\theta_1'(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} = \hat{\zeta}(z+a) - \hat{\zeta}(z-a) = 2\hat{\zeta}(a) - \frac{\wp'(a)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)}, \quad (2.41)$$

$$\phi_\tau = \frac{d\Omega_\tau}{dz} = \frac{\theta_1''(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} + \frac{\theta_1''(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} - 2\frac{\theta_1''(z)}{\theta_1(z)}, \quad (2.42)$$

$$\phi_z = 1. \quad (2.43)$$

Observe that the curve (2.38) in the  $SU(2)$  case has an additional symmetry  $z \leftrightarrow -z$ . We will see below that the WDVV equations hold generally for a subfamily of bi-elliptic curves with this extra symmetry.

### 2.3 The non-perturbative 6d theory

Consider now the non-perturbative 6d  $SU(N)$  theory associated to the curve

$$w + \frac{\Lambda^{2N}}{w} = e^u \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\theta_1(z-a_j)}{\theta_1(z)} \equiv e^u H(z), \quad \sum_{j=1}^N a_j = 0, \quad (2.44)$$

and generating differential  $dS = -z \frac{dw}{w}$ . These curves have the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry  $\sigma : w \leftrightarrow \Lambda^{2N}/w$  under which  $dS$  is odd, and when  $N = 2$  there is the additional symmetry  $z \leftrightarrow -z$  noted above. The curves have genus  $g = N + 1$  for the  $SU(N)$  gauge theory. We may picture this curve as two  $z$ -tori glued along  $N$  identical cuts on each. The ends of these are located at the zeroes of  $H(z)^2 - 4$ . One may choose a canonical basis of cycles with  $A_1$  and  $A_{N+1}$  being  $A$ -cycles on the  $z$ -tori while the  $A_j$ -cycle ( $j = 2, \dots, N$ ) surrounds the  $(j-1)$ -st handle joining them. (These handles degenerate to pairs of points in the perturbative limit.) The corresponding  $B$ -cycles are determined by  $A_i \circ B_j = \delta_{ij}$ . The cycle  $B_j$  may be taken as going from one torus through the  $(j-1)$ -st handle and returning through the  $N$ -th handle. This choice of cycles can be made so that  $\sigma_*(A_1) = A_{N+1}$ ,  $\sigma_*(B_1) = B_{N+1}$  and  $\sigma_*(A_j) = -A_j$ ,  $\sigma_*(B_j) = -B_j$  ( $j = 2, \dots, N$ ).

For illustrative purposes we focus first on the  $SU(2)$  case with the generalization to arbitrary  $N$  being briefly given later in the section. The  $SU(2)$  case gives us

$$w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w} = e^u H(z) = e^u \theta_1^2(a) (\wp(a) - \wp(z)). \quad (2.45)$$

Now we have two  $z$ -tori glued along two identical cuts, the ends which are located at the zeroes of

$$\left(w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}\right)^2 = e^{2u} H(z)^2 - 4\Lambda^4 = e^{2u} \theta_1^4(a) \left(\wp(z) - \wp(a) - 2\tilde{\Lambda}^4\right) \left(\wp(z) - \wp(a) + 2\tilde{\Lambda}^4\right), \quad \tilde{\Lambda}^4 = \frac{\Lambda^4}{e^u \theta_1^2(a)}. \quad (2.46)$$

We may choose as a basis of holomorphic differentials

$$dz, \quad \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta_1'(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} - \frac{\theta_1'(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} \right) dz, \quad \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} dz, \quad (2.47)$$

or equivalently

$$dz, \quad \frac{dz}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}, \quad \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} dz, \quad (2.48)$$

the two being related by

$$\frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta_1'(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} - \frac{\theta_1'(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} \right) dz = 2\hat{\zeta}(a) \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} dz + e^u \theta_1^2(a) \wp'(a) \frac{dz}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}. \quad (2.49)$$

Now consider the variation of the generating differential  $dS$  (at constant  $w$ ). Up to total differentials

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \left( -z \frac{dw}{w} \right) &= \delta u \, dz \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} + \delta a \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta_1'(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} - \frac{\theta_1'(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} \right) dz \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta \tau}{4\pi i} \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta_1''(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} + \frac{\theta_1''(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} - 2 \frac{\theta_1''(z)}{\theta_1(z)} \right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

The first two terms of this expansion are holomorphic Abelian differentials with the final term, corresponding to  $\delta\tau$ , being non-single valued. This is just as in the perturbative case. Note that the holomorphic differential  $dz$  does not appear in the expansion of  $\delta(dS)$ . Indeed this has a different behaviour under the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry (now  $w \leftrightarrow \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}$ ) compared to the generating differential  $dS$ :  $dS$  itself and all the constituents of (2.50) are *odd* with respect to this symmetry, while  $dz$  is even. This latter differential is related with the deformation of  $dS$  with respect to the scale parameter

$$\partial_{\log \Lambda^2} dS \Big|_{\frac{w}{\Lambda^2}} = dz.$$

Suppose now that  $\{d\omega_i\}$  are canonical holomorphic differentials such that  $\oint_{A_i} d\omega_j = \delta_{ij}$ . Then using the symmetry under  $\sigma$  we have expansions

$$d\omega_- \equiv d\omega_1 - d\omega_3 = \alpha_- \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} dz + \beta_- \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta_1'(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} - \frac{\theta_1'(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} \right) dz, \quad (2.51)$$

$$d\omega_2 = \alpha \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} dz + \beta \frac{w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w - \frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta_1'(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} - \frac{\theta_1'(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} \right) dz, \quad (2.52)$$

where the coefficients  $\alpha_-$ ,  $\beta_-$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are determined from

$$\oint_{A_2} d\omega_- = 0, \quad \oint_{A_1} d\omega_- = 1 = - \oint_{A_3} d\omega_-, \quad \oint_{A_2} d\omega_2 = 1, \quad \oint_{A_1} d\omega_2 = 0 = - \oint_{A_3} d\omega_2. \quad (2.53)$$

In the perturbative limit  $\frac{w+\frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w-\frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \rightarrow \pm 1$ , depending on which base torus solution we choose to (2.45).

Similarly  $\frac{w+\frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w-\frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} dz \rightarrow \pm dz$ . The differential  $\frac{w+\frac{\Lambda^4}{w}}{w-\frac{\Lambda^4}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta'_1(z+a)}{\theta_1(z+a)} - \frac{\theta'_1(z-a)}{\theta_1(z-a)} \right) dz$  becomes an Abelian differential of the third kind with poles at  $z = \pm a$ , the two cuts reducing to these two points. In this limit we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_- & \beta_- \\ \alpha & \beta \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.54)$$

consistent with (2.53), and consequently

$$\oint_{B_2} d\omega_- \rightarrow 2 \int_{-a}^a dz. \quad (2.55)$$

In this way we recover our earlier perturbative results.

It remains to describe the matching conditions necessary for the WDVV equations. We see from (2.50) that we have  $n_m = 3$  moduli here. On the nonperturbative curve (2.44) a holomorphic differential has  $2(g-1) = 4$  zeroes, while the differential  $\frac{dw}{w}$  has two simple poles and therefore  $2 + 2(g-1) = 6$  zeroes. However these six zeros arise from  $n_z = 3$  values  $\{z_\alpha\}$  (with two  $w$  values for each). For the case at hand the half-periods (2.40)  $z_\alpha = \omega_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, 3$ , are solutions to  $H'(z) = 0$ . The differentials in the residue formula only depend on  $z_\alpha$  here, and so the symmetry of the curve enables us to get matching here.

Let us now consider the general  $N$  case which goes through in much the same way. Instead of (2.50) one now has (upon setting  $\Lambda = 1$  hereafter)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \left( -z \frac{dw}{w} \right) &= \delta u \, dz \frac{w + \frac{1}{w}}{w - \frac{1}{w}} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \delta a_j \frac{w + \frac{1}{w}}{w - \frac{1}{w}} \left( \frac{\theta'_1(z - a_N)}{\theta_1(z - a_N)} - \frac{w + \frac{1}{w}}{w - \frac{1}{w}} \frac{\theta'_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z - a_j)} \right) dz \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta \tau}{4\pi i} \frac{w + \frac{1}{w}}{w - \frac{1}{w}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\theta''_1(z - a_j)}{\theta_1(z - a_j)} - N \frac{\theta''_1(z)}{\theta_1(z)} \right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

The right hand side of (2.56) now consists of the  $N$  (out of the total  $N+1$ ) holomorphic differentials that are odd with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry  $w \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}$ . In analogy with (2.20) these may be expanded over the non-perturbative basis

$$\frac{dz}{w - \frac{1}{w}} \left( 1, \dots, \wp(z)^{[N/2]} \right) = \frac{dx}{(w - \frac{1}{w})y} \left( 1, \dots, x^{[N/2]} \right), \quad (2.57)$$

$$\frac{dz}{w - \frac{1}{w}} \left( 1, \dots, \wp(z)^{[(N-3)/2]} \right) \wp'(z) = \frac{dx}{w - \frac{1}{w}} \left( 1, \dots, x^{[(N-3)/2]} \right). \quad (2.58)$$

Now all of the differentials appearing in the residue formula are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -odd. Upon writing  $d\Omega_I = h_I(z) \frac{w + \frac{1}{w}}{w - \frac{1}{w}} dz$  the residue formula (1.3) for the prepotential  $\mathcal{F}$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{IJK} &= \text{res}_{\frac{dw}{w}=0} \left( \frac{w + \frac{1}{w}}{w - \frac{1}{w}} \right)^3 \frac{h_I(z) h_J(z) h_K(z) dz^3}{dz \frac{dw}{w}} = \sum_{\alpha} \text{res}_{z_{\alpha}} \frac{H(z)^3 h_I(z) h_J(z) h_K(z) dz}{(H(z)^2 - 4) H'(z)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \frac{H(z_{\alpha})^3 h_I(z_{\alpha}) h_J(z_{\alpha}) h_K(z_{\alpha})}{(H(z_{\alpha})^2 - 4) H''(z_{\alpha})} \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

where  $z_\alpha$  are solutions of  $dw/w = 0$ . To describe what these are, first observe that  $dz$  and  $\frac{dz}{w - \frac{1}{w}}$  are holomorphic differentials and so have  $2(g_\Sigma - 1) = 2N$  zeros. The divisor of  $dz$  are the  $2N$  branch points of

$$\left(w - \frac{1}{w}\right)^2 = e^{2u} (H(z)^2 - 4),$$

the points at which  $w - \frac{1}{w} = 0$ . The divisor of  $\frac{dz}{w - \frac{1}{w}}$  are the points  $z = 0$  and  $w = 0, \infty$ . Now  $H'(z)$  has a pole of order  $N + 1$  at  $z = 0$ . This pole cancels the zeros of  $\frac{dz}{w - \frac{1}{w}}$  leaving a simple pole remaining (one at  $(z = 0, w = 0)$  and one at  $(z = 0, w = \infty)$ ) and the zeros of

$$\frac{dw}{w} = e^u H'(z) \frac{dz}{w - \frac{1}{w}}$$

are the  $(N + 1)$  (as a function of  $z$ ) zeros  $\{z_\alpha\}$  of  $H'(z)$ , and so corresponds to  $2(N + 1)$  points on the curve  $\Sigma$ . Here we have  $n_m = N + 1$  moduli and, because of the symmetry of the differentials  $d\Omega_I$ ,  $n_z = \#\{z_\alpha\} = N + 1$ . This means that the non-perturbative  $\mathcal{F}$ , defined by (2.59), satisfies the WDVV equations as a function  $\mathcal{F}(T_K) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_{N+1}, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N, \tau)$  of  $N$  linear combinations of the  $N + 1$  SW periods  $\mathbf{a}_i = \oint_{A_i} dS$  and the modular parameter  $\tau$  of the base curve.

### 3 A bi-elliptic generalization

We now consider generalizing our discussion to the setting when the variable  $\tilde{z}$  is also elliptic. First observe that the non-perturbative  $SU(2)$  curve (2.45), (2.46) can be rewritten in “hyperelliptic” terms as

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i), \quad Y^2 = (x - b_+)(x - b_-), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $x = \wp(z)$  and  $y = -\frac{1}{2}\wp'(z)$  are the affine coordinates of the torus (2.11) and  $Y = e^{-u} (w - \frac{1}{w}) / \theta_1^2(a)$ . By replacing the second equation in (3.1) with a polynomial of fourth degree on the right hand side,

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i), \quad Y^2 = \prod_{j=1}^4 (x - b_j), \quad (3.2)$$

one comes to a sort of a “bi-elliptic” system that we will further explore. (We reserve the term “double-elliptic” for the system considered in [18].) Equivalently via the fractional-linear transformation

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}, \quad x = \frac{d\tilde{x} - b}{-c\tilde{x} + a}, \quad Y \propto (cx + d)^2 \tilde{y}, \quad (3.3)$$

the curve (3.2) may be rewritten as two Weierstrass equations

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i), \quad \tilde{y}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (\tilde{x} - \tilde{e}_i). \quad (3.4)$$

We remark that the curve (3.2) has the symmetry  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ :  $z \leftrightarrow -z$  and  $\tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}$ , where now  $x = \wp(z; \tau)$ ,  $y = -\frac{1}{2}\wp'(z; \tau)$  and  $\tilde{x} = \wp(\tilde{z}; \tilde{\tau})$ ,  $\tilde{y} = -\frac{1}{2}\wp'(\tilde{z}; \tilde{\tau})$  are the standard coordinates of the tori.

The genus of the curve (3.2) is  $g = 5$ . This coincides with the total number of holomorphic differentials, which are linear combinations of

$$\frac{dx}{yY}, \quad x \frac{dx}{yY}, \quad x^2 \frac{dx}{yY}, \quad \frac{dx}{y} = -2dz, \quad \frac{dx}{Y} = \frac{d\tilde{z}}{\tilde{y}} = -2d\tilde{z}. \quad (3.5)$$

Taking into account the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry the number of zeroes of the holomorphic differentials (in particular of  $dz$  and  $d\tilde{z}$ ) is seen to be  $2(g-1) = 8$ . Equally the genus may be calculated using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. The curve may be viewed as a 4-sheeted cover of the  $x$ -plane, with each sheet corresponding to particular choice of the sign for  $(y, Y) = (\pm, \pm)$ . There are a total of  $B = 2 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 4 = 16$  branch points and so. Then

$$g - 1 = \#(\text{sheets})(g_0 - 1) + B/2 = 4(0 - 1) + 16/2 = 4, \quad (3.6)$$

or  $g = 5$ .

The example of (3.2) may be extended as follows. We consider the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric curve arising when the two elliptic equations (3.4) are rationally related via

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{P_m(x)}{R_m(x)} = \frac{p_m x^m + \dots + p_0}{r_m x^m + \dots + r_0}. \quad (3.7)$$

Upon introducing  $Y \propto R_m(x)^2 \tilde{y}$  this may be rewritten in a form similar to (3.2),

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i), \quad Y^2 = R_m(x) \prod_{j=1}^3 (P_m(x) - \tilde{e}_j R_m(x)) \quad (3.8)$$

where on the right hand side of the last formula we now have a polynomial of degree  $4m$ . The trigonometric and rational degenerations of (3.7) then take the form

$$w + \frac{1}{w} = P_m(x) \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$w = P_m(x) \quad (3.10)$$

respectively. In contrast to (2.12) the righthand side here depends only on the Weierstrass function  $x = \wp(z)$  which means that in this symmetric case one considers only the even differentials (2.57) and of (2.20) with  $[N/2] = m$ .

The genus of the curve (3.8) is  $g = 4m + 1$ . For a fixed  $x$  there are  $4m$   $Y$ -branch points, and as there are two  $z$ 's for each  $x$  we obtain  $8m$  branch points. The total number of branch points is then  $B = 2 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 4m$  and application of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (3.6) gives the stated genus. It is also clear that the curve defined by (3.7) together with (3.4), or by (3.8), can be visualized as a double-cover of the  $z$ -torus with  $8m$  branch points. Taking the derivative of (3.7), one gets

$$\tilde{y} d\tilde{z} = \frac{P'_m(x) R_m(x) - P_m(x) R'_m(x)}{R_m(x)^2} y dz \quad (3.11)$$

or

$$Y d\tilde{z} = (P'_m(x) R_m(x) - P_m(x) R'_m(x)) y dz \quad (3.12)$$

The number of zeroes of  $d\tilde{z}$  is given by zeroes of the righthand side of (3.12) and equals

$$4 \cdot (2m - 2) + 2 \cdot 4 = 8m = 2(g - 1). \quad (3.13)$$

Here the first factor of 4 comes from taking into account the total  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  multiplicity of the  $2m - 2$  zeros of the polynomial  $P'_m R_m - P_m R'_m \sim x^{2m-2}$ , while the second term on the left-hand side of (3.13) counts the 4 half-periods of the  $z$ -torus (including  $z = 0$  if compared to (2.40)), with the factor 2 corresponding to the  $\tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}$  part of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

An alternative approach to obtain a generalization to that outlined above would be to consider the case with the elliptic cosine replacing the Weierstrass function  $\tilde{x}$  in the left-hand side of (3.7). Naively, this would lead to an equation

$$\frac{\tilde{x} - \tilde{e}_1}{\tilde{x} - \tilde{e}_2} = \frac{P_m(x)^2}{R_m(x)^2} \quad (3.14)$$

with the polynomials on the righthand side being the squares of those appearing in (3.7). Analogous to our rewriting of (3.8) one now finds that

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i), \quad Y^2 = (P_m(x)^2 - R_m(x)^2) (\tilde{e}_{23} P_m(x)^2 - \tilde{e}_{13} R_m(x)^2), \quad (3.15)$$

where now

$$Y = \tilde{y} \frac{P_m^2 - R_m^2}{P_m R_m \tilde{e}_{12}} \quad (3.16)$$

and  $\tilde{e}_{ij} \equiv \tilde{e}_i - \tilde{e}_j$ . Again we find a polynomial of degree  $4m$  on the righthand side of (3.15), and this case completely repeats our discussion of the curve (3.8).

One can also generalize to less symmetric curves where we only have a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry with no extra  $z \leftrightarrow -z$  or  $y \leftrightarrow -y$  symmetry. The analysis of this parallels what we have already presented and we will simply present the list of results in the next section.

## 4 Summary of general results

We now present a summary of the results for the separated case of the bi-elliptic curve (2.1) when the function  $\mathcal{H}$  may be expressed as a sum of two terms; they being elliptic functions of  $z$  and  $\tilde{z}$ . Let us start with the case of an algebraic function  $\mathcal{H}$  linear in the co-ordinate  $\tilde{x} = \wp(\tilde{z}|\tilde{\tau})$ ,

$$\Sigma_{\text{ell}} : \quad \tilde{x} = H_N(z) = \frac{P(x) + yQ(x)}{R(x) + yS(x)} = e^u \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta(z - a_i|\tau)}{\theta(z - a'_i|\tau)}, \quad dS = -z d\tilde{z}, \quad (4.1)$$

with polynomials  $P(x)$  and  $R(x)$  of degree  $[\frac{N}{2}]$  and the polynomials  $Q(x)$  and  $S(x)$  of degree  $[\frac{N-3}{2}]$ . Further  $\sum_{i=1}^N (a_i - a'_i) = 0$ . We shall call this the elliptic case with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetry; the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetric subfamily (3.7) corresponds to the vanishing of  $Q$  and  $S$  in the righthand side of (4.1).

The non-perturbative 6d theory studied earlier corresponds to the trigonometric degeneration of the  $\tilde{z}$ -torus in (4.1),

$$\Sigma_{\text{trig}} : \quad w + \frac{1}{w} = P(x) + yQ(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta(z - a_i|\tau)}{\theta(z|\tau)}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N a_i = 0, \quad dS = -z \frac{dw}{w}. \quad (4.2)$$

This may be viewed as an Inozemtsev limit (see [19, 20, 13]), when  $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow +i\infty$  and  $\tilde{z} = i\tilde{\tau}/2 - \log w$ , so that  $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \text{const} + 2q^{1/4}(w + \frac{1}{w} + O(q^{9/4}))$ . After appropriate adjustment of the polynomials the bi-elliptic family (4.1) then turns then (4.2). This degeneration leads to the generic  $SU(N)$  non-perturbative curve of genus  $g = N + 1$  discussed earlier. Upon incorporating the scale  $\Lambda$ , redefining  $w \rightarrow \Lambda^{-2N}w$  and taking the perturbative limit  $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ , the system (4.2) further reduces to (2.12).

One observation to highlight from our analysis is that the number of moduli appearing in the elliptic setting ( $n_h$  in the tables below) is roughly twice that of its trigonometric degenerations.

#### 4.1 Elliptic, trigonometric and rational cases: the case of enlarged $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry $\tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}$ and $z \leftrightarrow -z$

	elliptic (3.7)	trigonometric (3.9)	rational (3.10)
spectral curve $\Sigma$	$\tilde{x} = \frac{P_m(x)}{R_m(x)}$	$w + \frac{1}{w} = P_m(x)$	$w = P_m(x)$
$n'_m$ : # of parameters: the independent coefficients of polynomials	$2(m+1) - 1 = 2m + 1$	$m + 1$	$m + 1$
$n_m = n'_m + 1$ (inclusion of $\tau$ )	$2m + 2$	$m + 2$	$m + 2$
$\mathcal{H}'_{\tilde{z}}$	$2\tilde{y}$	$w - \frac{1}{w}$	$w$
$Y^2 \sim (\mathcal{H}'_{\tilde{z}})^2$ , expressed through $x$	$Y^2 = R_m^4(x)(\mathcal{H}'_{\tilde{z}})^2 =$ $R_m(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 (P_m(x) - \tilde{e}_i R_m(x))$	$Y^2 = (w - \frac{1}{w})^2 =$ $= P_m^2(x) - 4$	$Y = w = P_m(x)$
behaviour at large $x$	$Y \sim x^{2m}$	$Y \sim x^m$	$Y \sim x^m$
$\nu(\Sigma)$ : # of branch points of $\Sigma$ over $z$ -torus, where $Y = 0$	$8m$	$4m$	$2m$ punctures: the pairwise “contracted” $4m$ branch points.
genus $g(\Sigma) = \frac{\nu(\Sigma)-2}{2} + 2$	$4m + 1$	$2m + 1$	$g = 1$ torus with $2m$ punctures



holomorphic differentials on $\Sigma$ (or with simple poles in rational case)	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^{2m})dx}{Yy}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{2m-2})dx}{Y}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = -2dz$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^m)dx}{(w - \frac{1}{w})y}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{m-2})dx}{w - \frac{1}{w}}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = -2dz$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^m)dx}{wy}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{m-2})dx}{w}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = -2dz$
total number of differentials $= g(\Sigma) + \# \text{marked points}$	$(2m+1) + (2m-1) + 1$ $= 4m+1$	$(m+1) + (m-1) + 1$ $= 2m+1$	$(m+1) + (m-1) + 1$ $= 2m+1$
symmetry of $\Sigma$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 :$ $\begin{cases} z \leftrightarrow -z, & y \leftrightarrow -y \\ \tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}, & Y \leftrightarrow -Y \end{cases}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 :$ $\begin{cases} z \leftrightarrow -z, & y \leftrightarrow -y \\ w \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}, & Y \leftrightarrow -Y \end{cases}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 : (\text{different!})$ $z \leftrightarrow -z, y \leftrightarrow -y$
holomorphic differentials, <i>odd</i> under the symmetry	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^{2m})dx}{Yy}$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^m)dx}{(w - \frac{1}{w})y}$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^m)dx}{wy}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = -2dz$
$n_h''$ : # of such differentials	$2m+1$	$m+1$	$(m+1) + 1 = m+2$
$n_h'$ : # of hol. differentials, contributing to $\delta(d\tilde{S})$	$n_h' = n_h'' = 2m+1$	$n_h' = n_h'' = m+1$	$n_h' = n_h'' - 1 = m+1$ $dz$ does not contribute
$n_h = n_h' + 1$ due to addition of $d\Omega_\tau = \frac{\partial(dS)}{\partial\tau}$ with jump	$2m+2$	$m+2$	$m+2$
jump $\Delta_B(d\Omega_\tau)$	$d\tilde{z}$	$\frac{dw}{w}$	$\frac{dw}{w}$

$d\tilde{z}$ or $\frac{dw}{w}$	holomorphic	2 simple poles at $x = \infty$ ( $z = 0$ ) related by $w \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}$	$2m + 1$ simple poles at $x = \infty$ ( $z = 0$ ) and zeroes of $P_m(x)$ doubled by $z \leftrightarrow -z$
zeros of $d\tilde{z}$ (i.e. zeros of $\mathcal{H}'_z$ )	$2(2m - 2) + 4 = 4m$ zeros of $d\left(\frac{P_m(x)}{R_m(x)}\right) = \frac{P'_m R_m - P_m R'_m}{R_m^2} y dz$ produces two <i>different</i> zeros related by $\tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}$	$2(m - 1) + 3 = 2m + 1$ zeros of $dP_m(x) = P'_m(x) y dz$ produces two <i>different</i> zeros related by $w \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}$	$2(m - 1) + 3 =$ $= 2m + 1$ zeros of $dP_m(x) = P'_m(x) y dz$
$n'_z$ : number zeros of $d\tilde{z}$ or $\frac{dw}{w}$	$8m = 2 \cdot 4m = 2(g(\Sigma) - 1)$	$4m + 2 = 2 \cdot (2m + 1) =$ $= 2(g(\Sigma) - 1) + \#\text{poles}$	$2m + 1 =$ $\#\text{poles}$
$n_z$ : # of critical points in residue formula: (# of zeros of $d\tilde{z}$ over symmetry)	$\frac{1}{4}(2 \cdot 2(2m - 2)) + \frac{1}{2}(2 \cdot 4)$ $= 2m + 2$	$\frac{1}{4}(2 \cdot 2(m - 1)) + \frac{1}{2}(2 \cdot 3)$ $= m + 2$	$\frac{1}{2}2(m - 1) + 4$ $= m + 2$
matching $n_m = n_h = n_z$ WDVV equations	+	+	+

This table completes our analysis for the most symmetric case. We see that the WDVV equations (1.1) hold in all three (perturbative, non-perturbative and bi-elliptic) cases.

**4.2 Summary for elliptic, trigonometric and rational cases: the generic case of only  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry  $\tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}$**

	elliptic (4.1)	trigonometric (4.2)	rational (2.12)
spectral curve $\Sigma$	$\tilde{x} = \frac{P(x)+yQ(x)}{R(x)+yS(x)}$	$w + \frac{1}{w} = P(x) + yQ(x)$	$w = P(x) + yQ(x)$
$n'_m$ : # of parameters: coefficients of polynomials	$2 \left( \left( \left[ \frac{N}{2} \right] + 1 \right) + \left( \left[ \frac{N-3}{2} \right] + 1 \right) \right) - 1 = 2N - 1$	$\left( \left[ \frac{N}{2} \right] + 1 \right) + \left( \left[ \frac{N-3}{2} \right] + 1 \right) = N$	$\left( \left[ \frac{N}{2} \right] + 1 \right) + \left( \left[ \frac{N-3}{2} \right] + 1 \right) = N$
$n_m = n'_m + 1$ (inclusion of $\tau$ )	$2N$	$N + 1$	$N + 1$
$\mathcal{H}'_{\tilde{z}}$	$2\tilde{y}$	$w - \frac{1}{w}$	$w$
$Y^2 \sim (\mathcal{H}'_{\tilde{z}})^2$ , expressed through $x$	$Y^2 = (R + yS)^4 (\mathcal{H}'_{\tilde{z}})^2 = (R + yS) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 ((P - \tilde{e}_i R) + y(Q - \tilde{e}_i S))$	$Y^2 = \left( w - \frac{1}{w} \right)^2 = (P + yQ)^2 - 4$	$Y = w = P + yQ$
behaviour at large $x$	$Y \sim x^N$	$Y \sim x^{N/2}$	$Y \sim x^{N/2}$
$\nu(\Sigma)$ : # of branch points i.e. where $Y = 0$	$4N$	$2N$	$N$ punctures at pairwise contracted $2N$ branch points
genus $g(\Sigma) = \frac{\nu(\Sigma)-2}{2} + 2$	$2N + 1$	$N + 1$	$g = 1$ torus with $N$ punctures

holomorphic differentials on $\Sigma$ (or with simple poles in rational case)	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^N)dx}{Yy}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{N-2})dx}{Y}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = -2dz$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[N/2]})dx}{(w - \frac{1}{w})y}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[(N-3)/2]})dx}{w - \frac{1}{w}}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = -2dz$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[N/2]})dx}{wy}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[(N-3)/2]})dx}{w}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = -2dz$
total number of differentials = $g(\Sigma) + \# \text{marked points}$	$(N+1) + (N-1) + 1 = 2N+1$	$\left(\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 1\right) + \left(\left[\frac{N-3}{2}\right] + 1\right) + 1 = N+1$	$\left(\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 1\right) + \left(\left[\frac{N-3}{2}\right] + 1\right) + 1 = N+1$
symmetry of $\Sigma$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 : \tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}, Y \leftrightarrow -Y$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 : w \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}$	none
holomorphic differentials, <i>odd</i> under the symmetry	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^N)dx}{Yy}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{N-2})dx}{Y}$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[N/2]})dx}{(w - \frac{1}{w})y}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[(N-3)/2]})dx}{w - \frac{1}{w}}$	$\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[N/2]})dx}{wy}$ $\frac{(1, \dots, x^{[(N-3)/2]})dx}{w}$ $\frac{dx}{y} = dz$
$n_h''$ : # of such differentials	$2N$	$N$	$N+1$
$n_h'$ : # of hol. differentials, contributing to $\delta(dS)$	$n_h' = n_h'' - 1 = 2N - 1$ one linear combination does not contribute	$n_h' = n_h'' = N$	$n_h' = n_h'' - 1 = N$ $dz$ does not contribute
$n_h = n_h' + 1$ due to addition of $d\Omega_\tau = \frac{\partial(dS)}{\partial\tau}$ with jump	$2N$	$N+1$	$N+1$
jump $\Delta_B(d\Omega_\tau)$	$d\tilde{z}$	$\frac{dw}{w}$	$\frac{dw}{w}$

$d\tilde{z}$	holomorphic	2 simple poles at $x = \infty$ ( $z = 0$ ) related by $w \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}$	$N + 1$ simple poles: at $x = \infty$ ( $z = 0$ ) and $N$ zeros of $P + yQ$ or at $z = a_j$ , $j = 1, \dots, N$
zeros of $d\tilde{z}$ (or of $\mathcal{H}'_z$ )	$2N$ zeros of $d\left(\frac{P+yQ}{R+yS}\right)$ doubled by $\tilde{z} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{z}$	$N + 1$ zeros of $d(P + yQ)$ doubled by $w \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}$	$N + 1$ zeros of $d(P + yQ)$
$n'_z$ : number zeros of $d\tilde{z}$	$4N = 2(g(\Sigma) - 1)$	$2N + 2 = 2(g(\Sigma) - 1) + \#\text{poles}$	$N + 1 = \#\text{poles}$
$n_z$ : # of critical points (zeros of $d\tilde{z}$ over symmetry)	$\frac{1}{2}n'_z = 2N$	$\frac{1}{2}n'_z = N + 1$	$n'_z = N + 1$
matching $n_m = n_h = n_z$ and validity of WDVV equations	+	+	+

## 5 Discussion

In this paper we have presented a generic check of the WDVV equations arising as 6d SW prepotentials utilising the residue formula. Further we have introduced a bi-elliptic generalization for which the WDVV equations also hold. In particular this is one of the very few cases known cases for which the curve is not hyperelliptic. Our argument has however intensively used the symmetry of the curves of the bi-elliptic family, reminiscent of the hyperelliptic case.

In the most degenerate situation of the *perturbative* 6d prepotentials the validity of the WDVV equations was recently established in [17]. The approach of the paper [17] was to extend the Landau-Ginzburg (LG) construction of the superpotential to the torus [3]. Now the complex torus is endowed with the generating differential  $dS^{\text{LG}} = zdw$ , where  $w$  from (2.12) can be considered as the corresponding superpotential. Equivalently the meromorphic function  $w$  on the complex torus gives the SW curve of a 6d theory. This situation essentially parallels that of *perturbative* 4d SW theory and the common LG model with a polynomial superpotential  $W(X)$  on the sphere with single marked point (or just on complex plane) [2, 4, 11]. In the common LG model the WDVV equations follow from the polynomial ring modulo  $W'(X)$ , while in the perturbative SW theory the corresponding algebra is isomorphic to the ring of functions at the zeros of  $W'(X)$ . The nontrivial fact for both cases is the existence of residue formulae for these *different* functions - the LG and (perturbative) SW prepotentials - which relate them to the structure constants of the isomorphic algebras. Moreover it is known that the isomorphism with the LG *algebra* holds in the SW theory *beyond* the perturbative case, see [2, 11]. Indeed one may write down explicit formula expressing the isomorphic structure constants through the third derivatives of the LG and *non-perturbative* SW prepotentials, so relating one to the other [21, 22, 11]. In the light of these results the extensions of the perturbative results of [17] to the non-perturbative regime are rather natural

and perhaps not very surprising.

We wish however to stress that the validity of the WDVV equations established here does not depend on any of the extra requirements intensively used in [3]; the latter yielding a well-known class of solutions to the WDVV equations related with the simplest topological string theories. In particular, we have already observed above that appearance of “unity” in the basis of functions and corresponding “constant-metric” term in the prepotential is accidental: both these features do not survive in the non-perturbative and bi-elliptic cases, but the simple counting argument (1.5) based on the residue formula (1.3) still holds, as demonstrated in our tables.

We also note that there is some similarity between the families of curves we have considered in this paper with another distinguished family of SW curves: the softly-broken  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory described by the Calogero-Moser integrable systems [23] in spirit of the correspondence of [24]. The SW curves for this family also cover a complex torus and, as in the cases examined here, the prepotential does not satisfy the WDVV equations as a function of the SW periods alone [5, 11]. However, in the Calogero-Moser case the counting argument shows [11], that one must add at least  $(N - 2)$  parameters and differentials, unlike the single differential with jump and corresponding modulus of the torus needed for the whole bi-elliptic family considered in this paper.

Finally, it would be interesting to extend analysis of this paper to the curves of the *double*-elliptic family, the simplest examples of which were considered in [18, 25, 26]. Compared to the bi-elliptic curves in the simplest double-elliptic case we have

$$\Sigma_{\text{dell}} : \quad \mathcal{H}(z, \tilde{z}) = \alpha(z) \text{cn} \left( \beta(z) \tilde{z} \left| \frac{\alpha(z)}{\beta(z)} \tilde{k} \right. \right) - E = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

with  $\alpha^2 = 1 - c\wp(z) = 1 - cx$  and  $\beta^2 = 1 - \tilde{k}^2 c\wp(z) = 1 - \tilde{k}^2 cx$ . Here there is a non-trivial periodicity in  $\tilde{z}$ -variable, with the periods themselves depending on  $z$ . For the double-elliptic curve (5.1) one gets

$$\delta(dS)|_{\delta\tilde{z}=0} = \delta\mathcal{H} \frac{d\tilde{z}}{\mathcal{H}'_z} \propto \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}dz}{\sqrt{x-U}} \propto \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}dx}{\sqrt{(x-U) \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i)}} \quad (5.2)$$

with  $U = \frac{1-E^2}{c}$ . Expression (5.2) may be thought of either as a differential on a double cover of the  $(z, \tau)$ -torus, ramified at the two solutions of  $x - U = 0$ , or as a differential on a curve of genus  $g(\Sigma_{\text{dell}}) = 2$ . The naive number of holomorphic differentials is then  $n_z = 2(g(\Sigma_{\text{dell}}) - 1) = 2$ , and this is not enough to analyse the validity of the WDVV equations, even upon restricting number of moduli to  $n_h = 2$ . We remark, however, that the derivation of (5.2) contains many surprising cancellations: for example, due to  $\beta^2 - \tilde{k}^2 \alpha^2 = \text{const}$ , it means that one can look for the nontrivial double-elliptic solutions to the WDVV equations for the families of curves similar to (5.1). We will return to this problem elsewhere.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to I. Krichever, A. Rosly and I. A. B. Strachan for discussion. The work was partially supported by RFBR grants 03-02-17373 (A.Mar.), 04-01-16646 (A.Mir.), 04-02-16880 (A.Mor.), the grants for support of Scientific Schools LSS-4401.2006.2 (A.Mar.) and LSS-8004.2006.2 (A.Mir., A.Mor.), the NWO projects 047.017.2004.015 (A.Mar.) and 047.011.2004.026 (A.Mir., A.Mor.), the INTAS grant 05-1000008-7865 and the ANR-05-BLAN-0029-01 project “Geometry and Integrability in Mathematical Physics” (A.Mar, A.Mir, A.Mor). H.W.B. and A.Mar. would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics where this work was completed, and the Edinburgh Mathematical Society for their support.

## References

- [1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 281;  
R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B352** (1991) 59.
- [2] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. **B389** (1996) 43, [arXiv:hep-th/9607109].
- [3] B. Dubrovin, in *Integrable systems and quantum groups* (Montecatini Terme, 1993), 120–348, Lecture Notes in Math., 1620, Springer, Berlin, 1996, [arXiv:hep-th/9407018].
- [4] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12** (1997) 773 [arXiv:hep-th/9701014].
- [5] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15** (2000) 1157 [arXiv:hep-th/9701123].
- [6] A. Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B427** (1998) 93-96 [arXiv:hep-th/9711194];  
A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B424** (1998) 48-52 [arXiv:hep-th/9712177].
- [7] B. de Wit and A. Marshakov, Theor. Math. Phys. **129** (2001) 1504, [arXiv:hep-th/0105289].
- [8] I. Krichever, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. **47** (1992) 437, [arXiv:hep-th/9205110].
- [9] A. Mironov, the review in the second book of [13], [arXiv:hep-th/9903088].
- [10] A.Boyarsky, A.Marshakov, O.Ruchayskiy, P.Wiegmann and A.Zabrodin, Phys.Lett. **515B** (2001) 483-492, [arXiv:hep-th/0105260].
- [11] A. Marshakov, in *Supersymmetry and Unification of Fundamental Interactions*, D. Kazakov and A. Gladyshev (Eds.), (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002), pp 370-381, [arXiv:hep-th/0108023];  
Theor.Math.Phys. **132** (2002) 895, [arXiv:hep-th/0201267].
- [12] N.Seiberg and E.Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426** (1994) 19; [arXiv:hep-th/9407087].
- [13] A. Marshakov, *Seiberg-Witten Theory and Integrable Systems*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999);  
*Integrability: The Seiberg-Witten and Whitham Equations*, H.W. Braden and I. Krichever (Eds.), (Gordon and Breach, 2000).
- [14] see, for example:  
A. Marshakov, Theor. Math. Phys. **112** (1997) 791 [arXiv:hep-th/9702083];  
R. Donagi, in Surv. Differ. Geom., IV, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1998. arXiv:alg-geom/9705010;  
I. M. Krichever and D. H. Phong, in Surv. Differ. Geom., IV, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1998. arXiv:hep-th/9708170;  
A. Gorsky and A. Mironov, arXiv:hep-th/0011197.
- [15] A. Gorsky, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, arXiv:hep-th/9604078.
- [16] A. Marshakov and A. Mironov, Nucl. Phys. B **518** (1998) 59 [arXiv:hep-th/9711156].
- [17] A. Riley and I. Strachan, arXiv:math-ph/0511048.

- [18] H.W. Braden, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. **B573** (2000) 553-572, [arXiv:hep-th/9906240].
- [19] V. Inozemtsev, Comm. Math. Phys. **121** (1989) 629.
- [20] H. Itoyama and A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. B **491** (1997) 529 [arXiv:hep-th/9512161].
- [21] K. Ito and S.-K. Yang, Phys. Lett. **B433** (1998) 56-62, [arXiv:hep-th/9803126].
- [22] L. Hovevenaars and R. Martini, Lett. Math. Phys. **57** (2001) 175-183, [arXiv:hep-th/0102190].
- [23] R.Donagi and E.Witten, Nucl.Phys. **B460** (1996) 299; [arXiv:hep-th/9510101];  
E.Martinec, Phys.Lett. **B367** (1996) 91-96; [arXiv:hep-th/9510204];  
A.Gorsky and A.Marshakov, Phys.Lett. **B375** (1996) 127, [arXiv:hep-th/9510224];  
H.Itoyama and A.Morozov, Nucl.Phys. **B477** (1996) 855; [arXiv:hep-th/9511126];  
[arXiv:hep-th/9601168];  
E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. B **513** (1998) 405 [arXiv:hep-th/9709053].
- [24] A.Gorsky, I.Krichever, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Phys. Lett. **B355** (1995) 466; [arXiv:hep-th/9505035].
- [25] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B475** (2000) 71-76, [arXiv:hep-th/9912088];  
A. Mironov and A. Morozov, arXiv:hep-th/0001168.
- [26] H. W. Braden and T. Hollowood, JHEP **12** 023, 2003 [arXiv:hep-th/0311024].